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Defining Ethics and Compliance

Compliance means adherence to, or conformance with laws or regulations and with an organisation's standards, policies, and procedures. From a legal perspective, compliance is the way organisations seek to ensure that they, their employees and representatives uphold the applicable laws and internal rules in order to prevent harm to themselves, the organisation, or others. Based on this definition, it is evident that compliance is a form of risk management.

Modern organisations are expected to go beyond doing the bare minimum in complying with the law and internal policies. This means taking steps to develop and foster an ethical workplace culture. Ethics forms the foundation of an effective ethics and compliance programme because it deals with concepts of right and wrong conduct, and is therefore rooted in "values".

Whether "ethics" precedes "compliance" is a matter of semantics, but one thing is clear: an effective ethics and compliance programme today requires a commitment to ethical principles.

By combining the two, organisations can more effectively manage risk and address regulatory compliance requirements.

Key definitions

- **Compliance** implies conformity with applicable laws, regulations and internal standards, policies and procedures.
- **Ethics** are moral principles that control or influence a person's behaviour.1
- **Business ethics** is the application of ethics to business behaviour.2
- **Values** are core ideas about how people should live and what ends they should seek.3
- **Integrity** is the quality of being honest and having strong moral principles that you refuse to change.4
- **Ethics and compliance programmes** help organisations manage risk, address regulatory compliance and foster an ethical workplace culture.5

---

1. Oxford Online Dictionary
2. 2 Institute of Business Ethics
4. Cambridge Dictionary of English
5. NAVEX Global

---

INTRODUCTION

WHY DOES ETHICS AND COMPLIANCE MATTER?

Ethics and compliance continue to grow in importance for organisations of all sizes, right across the world, as lawmakers seek to tackle the harmful effects of illegal, corrupt and unethical business practices. At the same time, people increasingly expect the companies they interact with and buy from to reflect responsible, ethical and sustainable values. In a globalised economy, understanding these issues and the various national and international rules relating to them could be the difference between an organisation's success, or failure.
Why Develop an Ethics and Compliance Programme?

Contrary to being a “nice to have”, an effective ethics and compliance programme is critical to running a productive, reputable and successful business. Without one, your business could expose itself to significant risk. When articulating the “whys” that justify investment in your programme, the following drivers are a good place to begin.

Regulatory pressures

Regulations are numerous and complicated. They of course vary from sector to sector, and across geographies too. But modern organisations are expected to comply regardless.

Since the Enron scandal of 2001 and the introduction of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) the following year, the pace and momentum of regulatory change has increased not just in the US, but at a global level. Commencing with the UK Bribery Act (2010), the last decade saw an unprecedented raft of anti-corruption, modern slavery, whistleblower protection and data privacy regulations take effect in Europe, Asia-Pacific and beyond.

The introduction of global anti-bribery and corruption regulations has accelerated in recent years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>USA Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>France Law Sapin I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>USA Sarbanes-Oxley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>EU Bribery Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Spanish Criminal Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>USA Dodd-Frank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Germany (German Act on Combating Corruption)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Netherlands (Dutch Criminal Code)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>France Law Sapin II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>South Korea (Improper Solicitation and Gift Act)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Argentina (Criminal Liability Statute)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico (General Law of Administration Responsibilities)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Russia (Russian Criminal Code)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>India (Prevention of Corruption Act)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UAE (Penal Code)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UK Bribery Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saudi Arabia (Anti-Bribery Law)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia (Corporate Crime Bill)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Companies in the same industry and/or operating in the same regions face similar regulatory pressures. By managing compliance-related risks more effectively than their sector peers, it is possible for organisations to establish competitive advantage. In fact, data from Ethisphere suggests companies that featured on its ‘Most Ethical’ list – which rates an organisation’s ethics and compliance programme among its evaluation criteria - perform better financially than their peers.

Increase in enforcement

Today’s enforcement environment is intense and active on all fronts. Whether it is a new regulation, new interpretation or simply greater enforcement of existing laws, compliance departments must be alert to the real and growing risk of fines and prosecutions.

Statistics relating to Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) enforcements bear this out. Between 1977 (when the FCPA was passed into US law) and 2000, no more than 10 cases per year were enforced by the relevant enforcement agencies. Since 2001 an average of more than 30 cases per year have been enforced. The average cost of fines has grown too, from $5m in 2015 to over $116m in 2019.

At the same time, global regulators are collaborating more than ever to enforce regulations. This has resulted in organisations being subject to multiple fines, from various regulators, for the same infringement.

Enforcement agencies around the globe acknowledge that ethics and compliance programmes are necessary to help reduce the likelihood of legal violations and to educate employees about what is expected of them. Effective ethics and compliance programmes (not just those that “tick the box”) can therefore help organisations build critical legal defences, limit damages and in some instances avoid criminal prosecution altogether.

Higher ethical standards

Up to now, the legal framing of compliance has driven significant progress. However, it’s become clear in recent years that addressing ethics is equally (if not more) important.

The US Department of Justice (DOJ) “Evaluation of Corporate Compliance Programs” guidance underlines how important it is for a company to create and foster a culture of ethics. When assessing the effectiveness of compliance programmes, prosecutors are directed to ask:

» How often and how does the company measure its culture of ethics and compliance?

» What steps has the company taken in response to its weakness in its measurement of the compliance culture?

» Is the programme being applied earnestly and in good faith? In other words, is the programme adequately resourced and empowered to function effectively?

Stakeholder expectations

Standards of corporate behaviour are continuously evolving to reflect society’s demand for greater accountability. The desire to maximise profits is now balanced by a need to act in the best interests of not only shareholders, but all of stakeholders - including employees, suppliers, customers, local communities and society in general. In other words, everyone who they directly or indirectly impact.

To remain successful, organisations have a responsibility to contribute positively to society, in accordance with ethical and moral norms. It implies taking an inclusive stakeholder view to build and retain public trust.

Increased investor attention on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) issues have prompted compliance teams to contemplate the importance of corporate responsibility in the context of organisational success. In the wake of public scandals, financial crises and emergencies like the Covid-19 pandemic, it has become clear that the way in which companies conduct themselves will help determine their future societal value. Those that protect their employees and prioritise longer term over short-term shareholder interests are likely to be more insulated from external economic and reputational threats.

The Department will continue to work aggressively with our partners across the globe to root out corruption.

Brian A. Benczkowski, DOJ Criminal Division.
The Costs of Non-Compliance

Between 2016 and 2020, four companies were subject to corruption fines of more than $1bn each. The largest of these, which involved a major aerospace manufacturer, totalled more than $3.9 billion and related to foreign bribery charges with authorities in the United States, France and the United Kingdom.

While fines and financial penalties might provide sufficient motivation to address compliance risk, they may only represent a fraction of the overall costs associated with non-compliance. Legal and ongoing monitoring costs, falls in the company share price and lasting reputational damage can often have a far greater impact on the organisation’s bottom line.

Notable recent corruption fines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Fines</th>
<th>HQ Location</th>
<th>Regulator</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerospace Corporation</td>
<td>$4bn</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>US, UK, France</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conglomerate</td>
<td>$3.5bn</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>UK, Brazil, Switzerland</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum Company</td>
<td>$1.78bn</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecoms Company</td>
<td>$1bn</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Benefits of a Strong Ethics and Compliance Programme

The immediate benefits of a robust ethics and compliance programme include reducing regulatory, legal and financial risk exposure, while creating significant competitive advantage. Longer term, it can significantly improve an organisation’s capacity to manage compliance-related risks, meet regulatory expectations and foster an ethics-centred culture.

Legal defence

While legal standards vary, there are common strategies organisations can use to build a compliance-based defence should they be faced with prosecution or regulatory enforcement. Courts, juries and enforcement agencies are looking to reward organisations that make a substantial, good-faith effort to comply with the law and encourage their employees to do the same. This can result in non-prosecution or reduced penalties through Deferred Prosecution Agreements (DPAs).

For example, in 2012 the DOJ declined to prosecute a multinational investment bank when its employee violated the FCPA. In explaining its decision, the DOJ highlighted the bank’s efforts to regularly update its internal policies, provide frequent training for its employees and conduct extensive due diligence on all new business partners.

In 2017, a global engineering company earned a 50% DPA discount from the UK’s Serious Fraud Office (SFO), which referenced “the full cooperation” and “improved due diligence in respect of intermediaries” implemented at the affected organisation.

These examples demonstrate how regulatory actions can be tempered in cases where organisations can show that they invest in and take self-directed action to aggressively limit their compliance risks.

How do you earn a declination or a DPA discount?

- **Have a robust compliance programme in place**
  - An effective ethics and compliance programme reduces the risk of prosecution or regulatory enforcement in the first place. Should the worst happen, its existence will demonstrate that your organisation has taken steps to mitigate compliance risk.

- **Self-report**
  - Voluntarily disclose the potential violation, all relevant facts and individuals involved to the prosecutors prior to the threat of a government investigation. Timing is critical: if there is an unreasonable delay in reporting an offence to government authorities after becoming aware of it, the company may not receive credit for having an effective programme in place.

- **Cooperate with the authorities**
  - Preserve and disclose all evidence, coordinate the company’s internal investigation with the regulator’s investigation, and make relevant individuals available for interviews. To ensure cooperation is timely and thorough, the organisation should ensure its compliance officer retains an open line of communication with prosecutors.

- **Acknowledge mistakes**
  - Demonstrate timely and appropriate remediation of the violation by disciplining culpable employees and strengthening the ethics and compliance programme to prevent further similar violations.
A strong ethics and compliance programme is tied to improvements in organisational culture. A programme built around a well-defined code of conduct and aligned to the company’s values and risk profile can help articulate who the organisation is - or aspirates to be - and bind stakeholders to that vision.

Not only is a strong focus on ethics likely to reduce the cost of misconduct, but it can also contribute towards a solid corporate reputation, genuine employee compliance, robust governance, and increased profitability.

Many regulations, including those related to bribery and corruption, employment law and privacy, can trace their origins to the concept of ethics. These areas of compliance resonate with employees’ personal morals and values, meaning an ethics-based approach to compliance is likely to be more meaningful to them.

Engaged workforce

Ethical business practices help cultivate a culture of trust, goodwill, integrity, and compliance.

Organisational pride and buy-in to an ethical culture often radiates beyond the physical barriers of the office. Rather, it extends deeply into employee communities, across the industry and into positive press and regulatory relationships. Recognition as an ethical place to work tends to be self-fulfilling by attracting and retaining high-quality executives, employees, partners and customers. Employees who are treated fairly have a sense of goodwill and organisational trust, which translates into a happier and more productive workforce.

An ethical orientation in an organisation serves as an insurance policy against incivility in the workplace and employee misconduct such as harassment, bullying, and discrimination. Academic research has also shown a correlation between a strong ethics and compliance programme and less disciplinary action and employee sick time taken, and a consequent decline in human resource costs.

33% of Gen Z workers (born 1995-1999) said that a company’s reputation for ethical behaviour was “very important” when choosing to work for them, compared to just 22% for their Millennial counterparts (born 1983-1994).

Healthier bottom line

Ethical companies are more successful and typically out-perform the competition financially, demonstrating the connection between good ethical practices and performance. A strong ethics and compliance programme enhances employee morale and increases engagement, which positively impacts productivity and company performance.

Moreover, establishing a reputation as an ethical company helps earn the trust and loyalty of consumers. This is particularly true among younger consumers who are likely to consider a company’s ethical values before buying their products.

Reputational value

Even a single compliance failure can deeply affect the public’s trust in an organisation.

News reports frequently highlight how lapses in leadership in managing compliance-related risks have damaged organisations and even exposed them to substantial fines and penalties. The financial penalty can be managed, but the reputational impact can have far-reaching consequences for many years.

Stakeholders, investors and shareholders value companies with reputations for acting ethically. An ethical reputation signals greater transparency, reduced risk of wrongdoing, a stronger compliance culture and, ultimately, future growth and success. “Socially responsible investing” entails investing in well-managed and profitable companies that are also committed to upholding ESG standards that benefit society. Once a niche approach, sustainable investing is gaining momentum with ESG funds capturing record flows in 2019. According to a Morgan Stanley survey, around 85% of investors are interested in sustainable investing.

A more ethical culture

A strong ethics and compliance programme is tied to improvements in organisational culture. A programme built around a well-defined code of conduct and aligned to the company’s values and risk profile can help articulate who the organisation is - or aspirates to be - and bind stakeholders to that vision.

Not only is a strong focus on ethics likely to reduce the cost of misconduct, but it can also contribute towards a solid corporate reputation, genuine employee compliance, robust governance, and increased profitability.

Many regulations, including those related to bribery and corruption, employment law and privacy, can trace their origins to the concept of ethics. These areas of compliance resonate with employees’ personal morals and values, meaning an ethics-based approach to compliance is likely to be more meaningful to them.

A strong ethical culture directly supports a strong compliance program.

FCPA Resource Guide

Performance of the ‘World’s Most Ethical Companies’ (Ethisphere 2020 Honorees), compared to the Large Cap index

January 2015

2020 ETHX

Large Cap Index

Five-year ethics premium

13.5%

January 2020

Source: Ethisphere. World’s most ethical companies 2020. Performance of the 2020 honorees as compared to the large cap index.

33% of Gen Z workers (born 1995-1999) said that a company’s reputation for ethical behaviour was “very important” when choosing to work for them, compared to just 22% for their Millennial counterparts (born 1983-1994).

“Socially responsible investing” entails investing in well-managed and profitable companies that are also committed to upholding ESG standards that benefit society. Once a niche approach, sustainable investing is gaining momentum with ESG funds capturing record flows in 2019. According to a Morgan Stanley survey, around 85% of investors are interested in sustainable investing.

11 Deloitte Millennial Survey, 2018
12 Ethisphere, World’s most ethical companies 2020
13 Accenture Strategy Global Consumer Pulse Research, 2018
14 Morgan Stanley Survey Finds Investor Enthusiasm for Sustainable Investing at an All-Time High.
The Evolution of Ethics and Compliance Management

The ethics and compliance management landscape has witnessed rapid and significant development since it originated in the US during the second half of the 20th century.

1960s
The first compliance programmes emerged in the American corporate arena when large contractors in the heavy electrical equipment industry were prosecuted for anti-trust violations. Thereafter, companies began to adopt antitrust training and other compliance measures.

1970s
A series of bribery scandals revealed by the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and IRS (Internal Revenue Service) led to the passage of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA). Multinationals based in the United States had been caught bribing foreign government officials to gain business advantages, and it became clear that informal compliance programmes were no longer enough.

1980s
After a series of defence procurement scandals, 18 defense contractors formed the Defense Industry Initiative on Business Ethics and Conduct (DII). Among the DII recommendations was the need to develop ethical principles for business conduct, increase the effectiveness of internal controls, and enhance senior management oversight and employee training.

1990s
The modern era of compliance and ethics began on November 1, 1991 when the US Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations (FSGO) came into effect. The guidelines were a decisive milestone in the development of a modern approach to compliance. In 1992 The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) published its Internal Control – Integrated Framework. The model provided principles-based guidance for designing and implementing effective internal controls. In 1997 the OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions was signed by 37 OECD countries and seven non-OECD countries.

2000s
The 21st century began with the Enron and WorldCom accounting scandals and the subsequent passage of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) in 2002. The definition of an “effective” program was further expanded in 2004 under the amended FSGO, which now required companies to “promote an organizational culture that encourages ethical conduct and a commitment to compliance with the law”\(^\text{15}\). Since then, regulators around the world began to emphasise the role of ethics in the prevention and detection of criminal conduct.

2010s – Present
The global financial crisis began in 2007. To promote financial stability, the US Congress enacted the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act in 2010. The Act incentivised organisations to develop formal channels for detecting and reporting violations. The SEC became authorised to pay awards (10-30% of collected money) to eligible whistleblowers who provide high-quality and original information leading to enforcement actions that yield sanctions of over $1 million\(^\text{16}\).

The global compliance landscape has continued to evolve, with major developments occurring in key ethics and compliance areas over the last decade. These have included a significant number of new and updated regulations covering corruption and bribery prevention, modern slavery, whistleblower protection, sanctions, anti-money laundering and data privacy. At the same time, a number of initiatives, guidelines, and standards have been published in an attempt to harmonise the diversity of national legal systems and encourage businesses to commit to ethical practices.

\(^{15}\) USSC Press release, May 3, 2004

\(^{16}\) SEC
The positioning, structure and responsibilities of the compliance function may vary dramatically from one organisation to another and are usually determined by organisation’s size, business model, risk profile and culture. Regulators and prosecutors acknowledge that different structures can support an effective programme.

That said, organisations are expected to provide reasons for the structural choices they make. The structures may be centralised or decentralised, functional (i.e. focusing on a specific risk area), attached to a business unit (usually legal), or entirely independent.

For small and medium-sized organisations the main structural considerations are likely to be centred around whether the compliance function (or the compliance officer) will have an independent or semi-autonomous status:

**Independent structure**

The compliance function is a separate operating unit, with the Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer (CECO) reporting into the CEO. Not surprisingly, this structure has been recognised by regulators and enforcement agencies as the most effective for ensuring the programme’s independence.

**Semi-autonomous structure**

The compliance function is appended to another department (most frequently, legal) and the CECO reports into the general counsel. Though they do not report to the board of directors, the CECO should provide periodic reports to the board to ensure continued independence of the programme. Alternatively, the general counsel performs the role of CECO. Although regulatory compliance can be viewed as a natural subset of an organisation’s legal unit, this structure may cause compliance to become a “second-rate” issue.

Larger organisations may also wish to consider the differences between a centralised and a decentralised structure:

**Centralised structure**

Compliance officers have a “dotted-line” relationship with their business head counterparts, but do not functionally report to them. In larger or multinational organisations, they report to a central compliance department, regardless of where they are located or what business function they are assigned to. Advocates of this approach cite the independence of compliance officers from the business units and standardisation of compliance activities.

**Decentralised structure**

Each business unit has a local compliance officer with freedom and authority to develop a programme that would meet the business units’ own needs and requirements, with a small corporate-level compliance function establishing the minimum required standards. For larger organisations this approach provides flexibility and may be ideal for highly diversified organisations, those that operate across different legal systems or have diverse risk factors between units.

---

**Establish the Structure and Reporting Lines**

For small and medium-sized organisations the main structural considerations are likely to be centred around whether the compliance function (or the compliance officer) will have an independent or semi-autonomous status:

**Independent structure**

The compliance function is a separate operating unit, with the Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer (CECO) reporting into the CEO. Not surprisingly, this structure has been recognised by regulators and enforcement agencies as the most effective for ensuring the programme’s independence.

**Semi-autonomous structure**

The compliance function is appended to another department (most frequently, legal) and the CECO reports into the general counsel. Though they do not report to the board of directors, the CECO should provide periodic reports to the board to ensure continued independence of the programme. Alternatively, the general counsel performs the role of CECO. Although regulatory compliance can be viewed as a natural subset of an organisation’s legal unit, this structure may cause compliance to become a “second-rate” issue.

**Centralised structure**

Compliance officers have a “dotted-line” relationship with their business head counterparts, but do not functionally report to them. In larger or multinational organisations, they report to a central compliance department, regardless of where they are located or what business function they are assigned to. Advocates of this approach cite the independence of compliance officers from the business units and standardisation of compliance activities.

**Decentralised structure**

Each business unit has a local compliance officer with freedom and authority to develop a programme that would meet the business units’ own needs and requirements, with a small corporate-level compliance function establishing the minimum required standards. For larger organisations this approach provides flexibility and may be ideal for highly diversified organisations, those that operate across different legal systems or have diverse risk factors between units.

---

**Best Practice:**

**Key Things to Consider**

Choose the structure that works best for your organisation. If you are just starting out, some of the key questions to address may include:

- Will the compliance programme be a separate unit? If so, where will it operate, and to whom will it report (administratively and operationally)?
- Will the compliance programme be part of another unit? If so, which unit(s) should take on these responsibilities?
- Are there any recommendations on structuring the compliance function from the organisation’s primary regulator? Bear in mind, however, that in order for your ethics and compliance programme to qualify as effective, the structure you establish must meet the following regulatory requirements:

- There is a senior manager (“high level person”) assigned overall responsibility for the programme.
- There are specific individual(s) assigned with day-to-day operational responsibility for the programme.
- These individual(s) report periodically to the senior manager and, as appropriate, to the board of directors or an appropriate board committee on the effectiveness of the programme
- To carry out their operational responsibility, these individuals have adequate resources, appropriate experience and qualifications, seniority and stature, as well as sufficient direct and indirect access to the relevant sources of data, the board of directors or an appropriate board committee.

---

17 FSGO, §8B2.1(b) (2) (A) – (C). 18 DOJ, Evaluation of Corporate Compliance Programs, June 2020, page 12.
Define the Function’s Scope

It’s important to define the scope of your compliance department from the outset. Regardless of who owns what, all risks must be managed effectively across the organisation. Gaps or overlaps in compliance management create confusion and could result in new and unforeseen consequences.

In most companies, many of the compliance responsibilities are fully or partially managed outside the corporate compliance function. For other areas, like anti-bribery and whistleblowing hotline management, the compliance function will assume primary responsibility.

Operational areas of compliance – such as data privacy or trade compliance – are more likely to be managed directly by the business, with the compliance function only providing oversight and assistance.

Many compliance areas require collaboration between several competencies. Consider supply chain risk: the compliance function, procurement, distribution, and supply chain managers should all work together to ensure this critical risk is managed effectively.

Communicate your scope to other risk owners to establish a common understanding and clear accountability for compliance obligations throughout the organisation. Think about establishing mechanisms to facilitate collaboration and coordination of activities between the compliance function and other units. Your interaction is key to the programme’s success.

### Who “owns” ethics and compliance risks?

This diagram illustrates which department was most frequently selected as the “owner” of compliance and ethics-related risks in a 2016 PwC survey. Figures provided show the percentage of respondents who selected that department as the “owner”. The size of the circle depends on the number of risks “owned” (most frequently cited as the leader) by the department or function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Area</th>
<th>Most Frequent Owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethical sourcing</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier compliance</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bribery or corruption</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraud</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money laundering</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflicts of interest</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical sourcing</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier compliance</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment and labour compliance</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data security</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety or environment</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PwC State of Compliance study 2016

### Assemble the Right Team

Staffing your programme depends on its scope and the resources available to the organisation. The skills, knowledge, and experience of compliance staff are critical drivers of the programme’s success. You have a variety of choices when building your team: lawyers, auditors, behavioural psychologists, business ethics and educational specialists to name a few.

One option adopted by some organisations is to hire former industry regulators who have extensive knowledge of regulatory issues, investigative practices, and personnel. When faced with a staffing decision, reflect on the following considerations:

**Generalist VS technical compliance officer**

The generalist focuses on broad issues including ethics, culture, training and communication. A technical compliance officer has eyes on the issues related to technical rules and regulations such as compliance audits, risk assessments and monitoring.

**Internal position VS external hire**

A current employee may know the organisation and its inner workings very well, whereas an outsider will bring new experience and expertise.

**Full-time VS part-time staff**

For many organisations with geographically dispersed operations (or with limited resources), part-time ethics and compliance “champions” may be the only viable option. These “champions” have a full-time role in their business, typically in finance, HR or procurement, but carry additional compliance responsibilities. In addition to their managerial reporting lines they have a dotted-line reporting to the corporate compliance function.

### Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer: Key competencies and characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leader</td>
<td>Business Partner, Effective Coordinator, Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Policy Writer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditor</td>
<td>Business Partner, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigator</td>
<td>Business Partner, Auditor, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idealist</td>
<td>Business Partner, Auditor, Investigator, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Partner</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Manager</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiator</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Coordinator</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salesperson</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litigator</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multitasker</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Trainer</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Writer</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychologist</td>
<td>Auditor, Investigator, Idealist, Business Trainer, Ethical Role Model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coordinate the Programme

It’s critical to ensure that the programme components will function effectively when stitched together. Key to this is creating a clear plan for how the programme will be coordinated.

The role of the board

With enforcement on the rise, CEOs and board members are under pressure to uphold both compliance and ethics oversight, and company leadership responsibilities. Boards are required to give the compliance officer access to them and oversee the implementation and effectiveness of the programme – albeit without turning board members into micromanagers. The importance of engaging with the board on a regular, periodic basis, and not only when asked to do so, cannot be overstated. Working successfully with the board creates opportunities for deeper engagement and improvements in company culture, and can help strengthen trust and respect for the accomplishments of the organisation’s ethics and compliance programme.

How often do compliance functions engage with the board?

![Chart showing frequency of engagement with the board]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme maturity level</th>
<th>Periodically, and the Board has oversight</th>
<th>Periodically</th>
<th>Only when asked</th>
<th>We do not report to the Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defining</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturing</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Collaboration and Networking

Communication and collaboration is key to the effective management of risk – and to the overall success of your ethics and compliance programme.

Compliance committees are a popular mechanism for formally coordinating joint efforts between teams. Although they may be established at different organisational levels, they typically include representatives from the key business or operating units and the legal, compliance, audit, risk, HR, finance and procurement functions.

Building Internal Partnerships

Working with the board

Using key regulatory requirements and best practice examples as a basis, ensure you cover the following when engaging with the board:

- Make sure all board members understand their responsibilities with respect to the programme, and applicable regulations.
- Report on the content and operation of the programme on a quarterly basis.
- Submit the compliance budget and staffing levels for review and approval.
- Establish an escalation process to ensure timely reporting and resolution of matters.
- Provide effective and role-relevant training.
- Make the board aware of risk assessments and board-specific risks to the organisation.
- Update the board members on emerging trends and topics of interest.

Engaging other teams

To help build understanding and partnership across all levels of the business, consider the following tactics:

- Demonstrate the relevance of ethics and compliance to the business: reach out and explain what you do, how you do it and why it’s important.
- Show genuine interest: talk to the business functions to get an idea of what keeps them busy.
- Create informal lines of communication: socialise and get to know the people you work with.
- Build a network of supporters: cultivate trust, mutual respect and understanding in your interactions.

"The board should take an active role in shaping the big picture of ethics and compliance in the company."

Carrie Penman, NAVEX Global
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Develop a Strategy

Once the structure, reporting lines and compliance scope have been defined and established, the planning process can move onto strategy development.

An effective ethics and compliance programme must be holistic, risk-based and scalable depending on your organisation’s size, geography and risk profile. When designing and planning the programme, consider the following strategic considerations to help ensure success.

Get leadership and management buy-in

Leadership has traditionally been associated with the ability to influence and motivate others, that’s why it plays an important role in organisational culture. Visible support from top executives is critical in any programme seeking to influence or modify employee behaviour. If a programme is seen as unimportant, a nuisance, or a threat to top management, employees will not trust it and will not engage with it.

However, the notion that the ethical culture of an organisation is shaped solely by the message coming from the board and CEO is fanciful. While it begins with the “tone at the top”, it is the middle managers and line managers that play an equally important role in shaping an environment that fosters and promotes ethical conduct. Through their actions, inaction, choices, decisions and the behaviours they reward, discipline or ignore, leaders and line managers transmit a powerful message on what is really valued, and what is required to survive and succeed.

Secure the budget

Compliance requires investment. But even as regulatory requirements increase, many organisations fail to recognise the value a strong programme can deliver. In broad terms, the cost drivers of an ethics and compliance programme fall into three categories:

- **Headcount**
- **Administrative expenses** (office space, equipment, supplies, travel)
- **Programme expenses** (compliance-related initiatives, systems and tools, consulting fees, conferences, staff training, etc.)

It is important to be aligned with your budget authority. Ideally, this should be the board of directors or an appropriate board committee. Regulators and prosecutors expect compliance functions to be adequately resourced in order to operate their programme. But how does one define “adequate”?

The best practice is to base the budget and staffing levels on the identified ethics and compliance risks to ensure they are managed effectively. As part of the board’s oversight role, it must ensure that programme staff is equipped to address the challenges in relation to staffing and resources.

How to gain budget from your board

Despite the never-ending increase in regulatory requirements, compliance is often seen as a cost centre rather than a business enabler. It’s the CECO’s responsibility to communicate the value of the compliance activities for the business and convince the board to invest in managing risks.

Consider the following tactics to make a persuasive business case for your budget request:

**Choose the right communication style**

Know your audience and tailor your message to fit their expectations with regard to things like data presentation (numbers, graphs, or soft data) and depth of detail (a high-level overview versus a deep dive into facts and details).

**Make the business case**

Your board does not necessarily live in the world of ethics and compliance. It is therefore your job to prepare and develop arguments using the terminology they are familiar with. Make sure you understand the organisation’s broader business objectives and can explain how investing in compliance will support the organisation in those efforts.

Take a proactive approach to compliance costs

Forty-five per cent of organisations with Advanced ethics and compliance programmes spend more than a quarter of their budget on technology solutions. With compliance budgets on the rise, one way to manage a growing list of requirements is to leverage the efficiencies of integrated risk and compliance solutions. Show the board that you’re not just asking for money, but that you have thought through the calculations. Lay out the cost difference between hiring more staff and using technology. Demonstrate that you are budget-conscious and trying to invest the organisation’s money wisely.

Review your regulatory environment

While there is no single, standalone piece of regulatory guidance that will cater for all situations or organisations, many of the key guidelines and frameworks align around a similar set of standards – albeit with different levels of emphasis on programme components (such as anti-bribery and corruption).

When designing your ethics and compliance programme, it may be most useful to start with these guiding measures for a well-rounded programme:

- **Is the compliance programme well designed?**
  - UK Bribery Act
  - FSGO
  - OECD
  - DOJ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk assessment</th>
<th>Standards, policies and procedures</th>
<th>Training and communications</th>
<th>Confidential reporting structure</th>
<th>Investigation of misconduct</th>
<th>Third-party management</th>
<th>Mergers and acquisitions</th>
<th>System of internal controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Is the compliance programme being implemented effectively?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commitment by senior management</th>
<th>Commitment by middle management</th>
<th>Autonomy and resources of a compliance function</th>
<th>Substantial authority personnel due diligence</th>
<th>Incentives for compliance</th>
<th>Disciplinary measures for non-compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Does the compliance programme work in practice?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continuous improvement, periodic testing and review</th>
<th>Culture of ethics and compliance with law</th>
<th>Analysis and remediation of underlying misconduct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Define stakeholder involvement

Stakeholders in the programme should include legal, risk management, internal audit, HR, procurement, finance, information technology, corporate social responsibility and communications. Stakeholders should discuss the implementation plan, timeframe, resources and any enhancements that would make the programme more valuable. In addition, the board of directors needs to be aware of the implementation plan and may wish to provide some specific direction regarding operations.

Ensure that each stakeholder has a clearly defined role in the programme. If you have already defined the compliance function scope, you will have a better understanding of who is responsible for the risks in your organisation and what role they will have in the programme’s implementation. Develop and document an implementation timeline that specifies who will lead the process, who will coordinate, and who will provide assistance. Create a formal escalation policy that details what the leadership and board of directors needs to know and when.

Plan for known challenges

There are several common organisational challenges that you may encounter when implementing an ethics and compliance programme. They include:

» Defining key people and their roles early in the process.
» Communicating effectively with implementation teams and stakeholders.
» Understanding the processes, procedures, data, systems and teams already in place.
» Coordinating teams separated by geographical boundaries.
» Gaining participation and input from all levels of management.
» Keeping up with regulatory change.
» Insufficient or stagnant budgets and limited programme resourcing.

By taking actions early on to mitigate these challenges, you can ensure your progress is not disrupted by preventable setbacks.

Focus on ethics

Many companies have compliance-based programmes. As the name suggests, these programmes focus on specific regulatory risks facing the organisation, which may themselves be complex, multi-faceted and ever-changing.

Values-based programmes emphasise ‘doing the right thing’ and are, unsurprisingly, deemed more effective at deterring unethical conduct. By embedding an understanding of general ethical principles, as laid down in your code of conduct, you can be confident that your employees will be able to identify with the values you are asking them to adhere to, and why – as opposed to a set of technical rules and regulations.

Implement effectively

Regulators and prosecutors require that each company tailor its programme to its own needs, size, business model, geographical spread and risk profile. There is no one-size-fits-all solution. What’s important is that the programme is “implemented, reviewed, and revised, as appropriate, in an effective manner”20.

Regulators have often expressed their frustration with the “paper programmes” they often encounter in the business world. The policies are written, the procedures are adopted – but what’s missing is perhaps the most important element: implementation. Your ethics and compliance programme should have substance and be embedded in the daily operations of your business.

Which laws and standards might apply to your organisation?

1. **National laws and regulations** in jurisdictions where your organisation conducts business, including those where you use third parties, agents and distributors.
2. **Industry-specific regulations**. These are particularly important for organisations in highly-regulated industries like healthcare, financial services and insurance.
3. **Legislation with extraterritorial reach**. The most prominent examples include the FCPA, the UK Bribery Act, Law Sapin II and the GDPR.
4. **International standards and guidelines**. These voluntary initiatives fall under the category of soft law and are not directly enforceable, but may be expected by some customers or partners – or within your industry. Example: ISO 37001:2016 Anti-Bribery Management Systems.
5. **Your legal or contractual compliance obligations**. These may relate to supplier codes of conduct and compliance-related clauses in contracts your organisation has signed.

20 US Department of Justice, 9-28.000 - Principles of Federal Prosecution of Business Organizations, Corporate Compliance Programs, point B.
Best Practice: The Eight Essential Elements of an Effective Programme

Regulators and prosecutors across the globe expect organisations to detect and prevent corporate wrongdoing. While their guidance varies, the key requirements can be distilled into eight essential elements that a strong compliance and ethics programme needs to address. Successfully incorporating these elements into your own programme will help protect your organisation’s reputation, enhance employee engagement and improve organisational culture.

**Risk Assessment**

A risk assessment is key to developing your organisation’s risk profile. It should identify:

» ethics, compliance and reputational risks your organisation may face given its industry and geography

» risks related to your employee population

» your current and planned mitigation strategies to reduce risk to a level deemed acceptable by your organisation

Risk assessments should be kept current and be subject to periodic review based upon continuous access to operational data and information from across your organisation.

**Standards, Policies and Procedures**

As you develop your programme, policies and procedures will play a critical role. Your code of conduct should be the foundation policy, supported by standards and procedures that drive compliance with internal values as well as applicable laws, rules and regulations.

**Oversight, Structure and Leadership**

Your programme needs both appropriate oversight (to protect from risk) and commitment from leadership (to drive behaviour and culture). Those who do have key oversight duties, including your board of directors, need to be informed and trained on their roles to help your organisation achieve an effective programme.

**Alignment with HR Practices**

An effective compliance programme has many touchpoints and overlaps with an organisation’s HR department. For example, the individuals an organisation chooses to recruit and promote sends a clear signal about its goals and priorities. As well as paying close attention to hiring practices, take care to align performance measures and incentives with ethical and compliant behaviours, and apply consistent disciplinary policies. Developing positive relationships between ethics and compliance and human resources paves the way for an ethical company culture and sends a clear message that unethical behaviour will not be tolerated.
Communications and Training

Organisations are expected by regulators to communicate standards and procedures to the board of directors, high-level personnel, employees and (where appropriate) third parties. Therefore, the policies and procedures in your ethics and compliance programme should be accompanied by a strategic communications plan and training programme. This will help ensure employees remain informed of, and attest to, the policies that apply to them. A regular and effective training plan will ensure employees understand what is expected of them, help managers understand how to respond to issues raised and ensure lessons learned are consistently used to improve culture.

Monitoring and Assessment

Measuring and monitoring your programme is the only way to know whether it is truly effective. Regulators like the DOJ expect organisations to take “reasonable steps” to “ensure that the organization’s compliance and ethics program is followed, including monitoring and auditing to detect criminal conduct,” and “evaluate periodically the effectiveness of the organization’s program.” You must therefore regularly engage in meaningful efforts to review your ethics and compliance programme and ensure it evolves over time.

Reporting and Response

The reporting process enables employees to bring their concerns to the attention of your organisation. Every ethics and compliance programme must offer ways for employees to easily and comfortably report issues without fear of retaliation. It should also include measured steps to respond to and resolve those reports, including investigations and disciplinary processes.

Culture

Compliance regulations reinforce the idea that in order to have an effective programme, you need to foster a culture that promotes compliance and ethics - not just rules and additional layers of controls. Successful programmes are integrated efforts that align financial and compliance requirements with an organisation’s mission and values. Forward-thinking organisations build cultures where employees know that doing the right thing is expected, understand the standards that apply to them, and believe in the integrity of their leaders. These same employees should feel empowered to raise concerns about misconduct with confidence and without fear of retaliation.

Prevent-Detect-Respond Approach

An ethics and compliance management system can be divided into three levels of action:

1. Prevent: Preventative measures include risk assessments, policies and procedures, training and communication, and alignment with HR practices.

2. Detect: Monitoring and assessment, reporting channels and incident management processes are indispensable in helping to recognise matters of misconduct.

3. Respond: Clear consequences for wrongdoing, as well as lessons learned and programme enhancements, form the basis of an effective response strategy.

Leadership, structure and oversight is the overarching element above these three levels. Culture serves as the foundation of the whole programme.

Integrated ethics and compliance

While the primary focus of compliance officers may tend to focus on anti-corruption, the eight elements framework can be practically and effectively applied in other areas of your ethics and compliance programme, including:

- trade
- antitrust
- conflicts of interest
- data privacy
- anti-money laundering
- anti-discrimination
- modern slavery
- sexual harassment
- cybersecurity
- fraud

Tailor Your Programme

The US Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations (FSGO) commentary states that an effective ethics and compliance programme will consider the organisation’s industry, size and history. It is therefore advisable to contemplate how these parameters could affect the breadth and depth of your own programme before the implementation begins.

Industry practices

You can model your programme on recognised ethics and compliance industry leaders. Review their codes of conduct and compliance policies, and look for publicly available information around the programmes they have in place. Look closely at industry-specific codes too - they are a valuable resource for identifying risks and practices facing your organisation and its peers. An organisation’s failure to incorporate and follow applicable industry practices makes it less likely its programme would be considered effective by regulators.

Organisation history

Recurrence of similar misconduct creates additional compliance risk and casts doubt over the effectiveness of an organisation’s compliance efforts. A history of compliance violations would therefore require that greater resources are directed towards the ethics and compliance programme.

Organisation size

Regulators and enforcement agencies expect large organisations to devote greater resources and take a more formal approach to their programmes. By contrast, smaller organisations may take an abbreviated approach provided they can demonstrate “the same degree of commitment to ethical conduct and compliance with the law as large organisations.” Examples of informality and use of fewer resources include the following:

- training may take place at informal staff meetings
- monitoring can be done during regular “walk arounds”
- available personnel may be used to carry out the programme

The Risk Assessment

An effective ethics and compliance programme should be based on a well-informed understanding of the risks facing the organisation. A systematic risk assessment is therefore the essential first step. Without it, you may find it difficult to explain why your programme has been designed as it has, should you be required to23.

Your risk profile is an evaluation that identifies the unique risks your organisation may face given its industry, geography and employee population. In many cases, organisations may be subject to regulations and vulnerable to risks about which they know little. After conducting a thorough risk assessment, you are likely to discover risks that are new, were previously not visible, or which have become more significant since you last completed an assessment.

According to the 2020 NAVEX Global Definitive Risk & Compliance Benchmark Report, risk assessment is a high priority activity among respondents, with 46% of organisations planning to conduct a comprehensive organisational risk assessment in the next 12 months24.

Key definitions

- **Risk** is defined as the “effect of uncertainty on objectives”25 and is most often measured in terms of likelihood and impact.
- **Likelihood** is the probability that a risk will materialise.
- **Impact** is the cost of a risk if it does occur.
- **Effective risk management** involves “the systematic application of management policies, procedures and practices to the activities of communicating, consulting, establishing the context, and identifying, analyzing, evaluating, treating, monitoring and reviewing risk.”26
- An ethics and compliance risk assessment identifies the organisation’s ethics, compliance and reputational risks, the employee population that creates the risk, and the current and planned mitigation strategies to reduce risk to a level deemed acceptable by the organisation.

How do you conduct your compliance risk assessment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engage with senior stakeholders</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze compliance-related violations</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze business units’ compliance KPIs</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undertake a staff survey</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solicit input from the Board</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct focus groups</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PWC State of Compliance survey 2015

22 FSGO, §8B2.1, Commentary 2(C) (Ch. 1, n. 24).
The 10 Key Steps of a Robust Ethics and Compliance Risk Assessment

1. Get leadership buy-in
   Active and visible support from senior executives and the board of directors is a key component of a successful risk assessment. Without it, risk assessments can lose momentum, avoid or inadequately deal with certain issues, or have their quality impaired by other executives and managers choosing not to participate.

2. Define roles and responsibilities
   Define who should ‘own’ the risk assessment and who needs to be involved. Clearly delineated roles and responsibilities should be communicated and understood.

3. Secure adequate resources
   The function leading the risk assessment, whether it be compliance or another department, is unlikely to have expertise in every area. It will therefore require support from other functions including legal, risk management, internal audit, sales and marketing, procurement, finance, HR, supply chain and corporate affairs (this list is not exhaustive). Stakeholders should discuss the implementation plan, timeframe, resources and any enhancements that could make the risk assessment more effective.

4. Establish your risk appetite and risk tolerance level
   Determine your organisation’s risk appetite and risk tolerances early in the risk assessment process. “Risk appetite” is the amount of risk an organisation is willing to accept or retain and represents a broad view of risk. “Risk tolerance” is relative to specific risks and performance targets. It can be defined as the organisation’s flexibility with regard to specific risks.

5. Understand your environment
   You should have a clear understanding of how your organisation functions. An organisation is expected to analyse and address its unique risks within the context of what it does, its geographic presence, industry sector, competition, regulatory landscape, clients and business partners. By understanding the nature of operations and locations, you will be better able to grasp the types of risks specific to your organisation, as well as the potential consequences should a violation occur.

6. Identify risk indicators
   Risk indicators are metrics that can be used to measure risks affecting the organisation. They can act as predictors and provide early signals of increasing risk exposures. The analysis of risk indicators should be holistic and include both internal and external resources.

7. Collect the data
   Interviews, surveys, self-assessments, and brainstorming sessions are different methods to collect the data and information on how and why compliance risks may occur in the organisation. Understand the pros and cons of each method before choosing the one that will work best for your risk assessment objectives.

8. Identify the risks
   Now that you understand the scope of the business and the risk indicators specific to the nature of its operations and locations, you should break the risks down to a reasonable level of detail. The objective of the risk identification is to create a comprehensive inventory of compliance and ethics risks facing your organisation, industry and regions.

9. Rate the likelihood and impact
   Rate both the likelihood that each risk might occur and the corresponding potential impact of that occurrence. The aim is to prioritise the responses to the identified risks in a logical format.

10. Develop your action plan
    Once the risk assessment is complete, compile your findings and recommendations in a comprehensive report to be presented to the board for review and approval. However, the process should not stop there. An action plan that prioritises the recommendations from the risk assessment should then be developed to ensure that the necessary enhancements are implemented.

A well-informed ethics and compliance risk assessment looks at:

» the organisation’s business model
» the geographic location of its operations
» the industry sector and the competitiveness of the market
» the regulatory landscape
» clients and customers
» products and services
» supply chain and third parties
» transactions and projects
» the ways in which risks may manifest themselves

“While there is no “one-size-fits all” risk assessment, the exercise should generally consist of a holistic review of the organization from top-to-bottom and assess its touchpoints to the outside world.”
OFAC Guidance
Your ethics and compliance programme is an ecosystem of moving parts. New laws and regulations, new lines of business, new geographies, and mergers and acquisitions will all become part of a growing enterprise your compliance ecosystem must support. This requires those in charge of the system to regularly monitor and assess risks and priorities to make necessary adjustments that will continue to deliver an effective programme.

Monitoring, auditing, and measuring are all key to understanding whether your ethics and compliance programme is appropriately designed and implemented, and working effectively. The gaps identified by these analyses should then be addressed to ensure continuous improvement.

**Monitoring** is an ongoing, real-time surveillance or oversight of your programme. It is integral to the timely identification of internal control deficiencies. It involves testing daily business activities with the greatest focus on the areas of the business which are exposed to the most significant risks.

**Auditing** is a periodic, rather than a continuous, retrospective exercise. Although an internal audit function is well-placed to conduct compliance audits, from time-to-time the board is likely to need some more independent assurance. External audit firms or accredited consultants can provide an independent validation of your ethics and compliance programme.

**Measuring and assessment** is a comprehensive evaluation of how your programme:

- Measures up against organisations of a similar size, industry and footprint
- Meets globally-recognised or industry-accepted standards
- Helps close gaps in risk mitigation
- Defines improvements in a prioritised manner by way of a multiyear work plan to achieve your organisation’s desired level of programme maturity

Along with assessing for external factors, a robust programme must account for an important internal variable - human behaviour. Even with strong policies and compliance procedures in place, employee behaviour presents the highest risk for your ethics and compliance programme.

A robust quality assessment will help you understand the impact your current ethics and compliance programme is having on employees as well as the overall corporate culture.

### What metrics do you use to measure compliance programme effectiveness?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme maturity level</th>
<th>Reactive</th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Maturing</th>
<th>Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee surveys and other culture assessments</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of internal audit findings</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaches of the code of conduct/internal policies</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conducting exit interviews and measuring employee turnover</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading whistleblowing reports, retaliation and substantiation rates</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee quizzes on training or policies</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attestation rates on training and policy programs</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparisons using third-party measurements or benchmarks</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case closure times</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent evaluations by outside counsel and / or consultants</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring reviews on ‘Glassdoor’/social-media articles/news reports</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction or regulatory fines or penalties</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We don’t formally assess effectiveness</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NAVEX Global, The Definitive Corporate Compliance Benchmark Report, 2019, page 19
A Story of Effectiveness

The results from your monitoring, auditing and assessment activities should tell a story that demonstrates the effectiveness of your programme, and how it relates to the mission, values and strategic operating plans of your organisation.

Use the data you collect to anchor your story in evidence, while adding the more abstract observations and attitudes as the cultural manifestations of that data.

Your effectiveness story should include a concrete roadmap that illustrates how you will use the results moving forward. One of the main outputs should be the development of an ethics and compliance work plan that will incorporate programme improvements – and remedy programme gaps or inefficiencies. Along with next steps, your effectiveness story should also include projected dates to periodically revisit and course-correct the programme adjustments informed by your monitoring, auditing and assessment.

Benchmarking Your Programme

Benchmarking is an important part of the assessment process. Benchmarks can be used to justify your budget or other resource requests, to create a prioritised list of improvement opportunities and to inform the timeline for incorporating those improvements.

Most importantly, benchmarking can help you understand whether your programme is within the norms for your company’s size and industry – and where the programme as a whole (or individual elements) may land on the continuum from substandard to best practice. In addition to using benchmarking to measure your programme against peers, it is a critical step in designing your programme to better withstand the scrutiny of external, governmental or regulatory parties.

Example: Ethics and compliance programme assessment template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STANDARDS, POLICIES AND PROCEDURES</th>
<th>NEEDS ATTENTION</th>
<th>PARTIALLY MEETING BEST PRACTICE</th>
<th>BEST PRACTICE</th>
<th>ACTION PLAN</th>
<th>ACTION PLAN OWNER</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMPREHENSIVE CODE OF CONDUCT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICIES AND PROCEDURES IN HIGH RISK AREAS</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CEO, middle managers</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY MANAGEMENT PROCESS: REGULAR UPDATES</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CEO, business process experts</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

An effective ethics and compliance programme is never complete. Instead, it should continuously evolve to take into account the inevitable regulatory, organisational and external developments that will influence its current status and future direction.

Due to the unrelenting pace of such change, it’s likely technology will become increasingly important to your long-term success. Unifying your ethics and compliance programme within an automated, integrated solution will give you the opportunity to keep pace with new developments, improve effectiveness, and manage and mitigate your ethics and compliance risks.

NAVEX Global is the worldwide leader in integrated risk and compliance management software and services that help organisations manage risk, address regulatory compliance requirements and foster an ethical workplace culture. For more information visit www.navexglobal.com
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Additional Resources

Benchmarking and Market Trends
- 2020 The Definitive Risk & Compliance Benchmark Report
- 2020 Third Party Risk Management Top Market Trends & Analysis
- 2020 Risk & Compliance Hotline Benchmark Report
- 2020 Regional Whistleblowing Hotline Benchmark Report

Governmental and International Guidance on Ethics and Compliance Programmes
- US Department of Justice Evaluation of Corporate Compliance Programme
- AFA Guidance on SAPIN II Compliance (in French)
- UK Bribery Act Guidance from Transparency International
- FCPA Corporate Enforcement Policy
- ISO 19600:2014 Compliance Management Systems
- ISO 37001:2016 Anti-Bribery Management Systems
- ICC Ethics and Compliance Training Handbook
- UNODC Compliance Resources
- A Framework for OFAC Compliance Commitments

Guidance for Working With the Board
- Key Elements for Effective Compliance Programme Board Reporting
- Four Key Board Responsibilities for Monitoring Risk and Compliance

Risk Assessment Guidance
- Risk Assessment Framework
- Sample Risk Prioritization Framework

Compliance Programme Definitive Guides
- Definitive Guide to Compliance Programme Assessment
- Definitive Guide to Third-Party Risk Management
- Definitive Guide to Whistleblowing Hotlines
- Definitive Guide to Your Code of Conduct
- Definitive Guide to Policy and Procedure Management
- Definitive Guide to Ethics & Compliance Training